REVENUE **(194 9) Department.**

Ms. **SERIES.**

G.O. NO. 406

Dated 19th February 1949.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment - (South Kanara) - Appointment of separate Masalchis - Stationery Sub Magistrate's Office - Puttur and Coondapur - Sanctioned.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Lr.dgw 5280	0/Rt		11.7.50				

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.



Subject.

ESTABLISHMENT - (South Kanara) - Appointment of separate Masalchis - Stationery Sub-Magistrate's Offices - Puttur and Coondapur - Sanction - Requested.

Station : Madras,

Ref. C. No. 11114.48.2 Dated 3.2.1949.

From

K.N. Anantaraman Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary, Board of Revenue.

W.R.S. Sathianathan Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue and Commercial Taxes.

READ: 1. From the Collector of South Kanara, Ref.C.No.4765/48.C3 dated 18.10.48.

In Puttur and Coondapur Taluks of this district, the masalchis of the Taluk offices attend to work in the Stationery Sub Magistrate's offices also. As both the offices are located in separate buildings far away from each other with a distance of about 3 to 6 furlongs, this arrangement is reported to be unworkable and unsatisfactory. In all the other stations of this District, Stationery Sub Magistrate's offices are attached to the Taluk Office building.

2. I, therefore, consider that the employment of separate masalchis for the Stationery Sub Magistrate's Offices in Coondapur and Puttur Taluks is essential in the interest of administration. I request that the Government may be addressed to accord sanction for incurring the expenditure on account of the employment of separate masalchis in the Stationery Sub Magistrate's Offics in Puttur and Coondapur Taluks each on a pay of Rs.15/- and Dearness Allowance of Rs.18/- per month.

2. Board's Ref.C.No.11114/48 dated 3.11.48

Read: From the Collector of South Kanara
Ref.No.4765/48.C3 dated 18.10.48. above.

The Collector of South Kanara is requested to report on the following points with full reasons in support of his proposal:

i) whether there were separate masalchis for the taluk offices and the Stationery Sub Magistrate's office at Puttur and Coondapur and if so when and for what reasons the two posts were abolished

ii) if the masalchis in the taluk offices at Puttur and Coondapur were attending to the work in the Stationery Sub Magistrate's offices also, how this arrangement has become unworkable and unsatisfactory now and

iii) the number of masalchis working in Taluk Offices and Stationery Sub Magistrate's offices in question.

2. The Collector is also requested to report the distance between the Stationery Sub Magistrate's office and the Taluk office in each case.

3. Letter from the Collector of South Kanara, Ref.C3.No.4765/58 dated 7th January 1949.

Ref: Board's Ref.C.11114.48,2 dated 3.11.48.

The particulars called for in Board's Ref.C.No. 48 dated 3.11.48 are furnished below:

re were no separate masalchis for the Taluk Offices and tionery Sub-Magistrate's offices at Puttur and Coondapur ore.

The Masalchis of Taluk offices at Puttur and Coondapur used to attend to the work of Sub Magistrates offices also. Due to the retrenchment of peons posts in Taluk Offices the work of Masalchis has increased considerably with the result that they cannot attend to the work of Stationery Sub-Magistrates' offices which are far away. Moreoever as the Hon.Special First Class Magistrates, Puttur holds his court in the Stationery Sub-Magistrate's Court room from 8 A.M. to 11 A.M. the necessity of a separate masalchi is keenly felt.

There is only one masalchi each Taluk office at Puttur and Coondapur and none in Stationery Sub-Magistrate's Courts.

2. The distance between the Taluk offic and Stationery Sub-agistrate's court, Coondapur is about half a mile and that etween Taluk Office, Puttur and Stationery Sub-Magistrate's Court, ttur is about one furlong.

eference:

Submitted to the Government.

2. In the circumstances stated by the Collector, the Board recommends that the Government may be pleased to accord sanction for the appointment of separate masalchis for the Stationery Sub Magistrate's Office at Puttur and Coondapur each on a pay of Rs.15/- and Dearness Allowance of Rs.18/- per month.

for Secretary.

To the Secretary to the Government,
Revenue Department.

SA.7.2.
BV

Rev. Dept.

No. 8110 G/49 dtd 18/2/49

Read :- from the Bd of Rev Ref C. No. 11114-48-1 dated 3-2-1949

14/19/2

G.O. Ms No. 406 dated 19/2/49.

Sanction is accorded for the employment for a period of one year from the date of appointment of separate masalchis for the Stationary Sub Magistrates' office at Puttur and Coondapur of S. Kanara dt each on a pay of Rs 15/- and D.A. of Rs 18/- p.m.

2. The expenditure should be debited to "Contingencies" under "25 General Admn

In Bd of Rev the A.G. has fin the Coll. of S. Kanara. dtd 14/2/49

dtd 19/2/49

By order etc.

M G M
15249

S.A.M. Magistrates office
Mangalore dated 10-10-49.

Janab Syed Ahmed B .Sc.,(Hons)
Dt. Magistrate of S.Kanara., Mangalore.

The Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Department, Madras.

Establishment - South Kanara - Appointment of separate masalchies Stationery Sub Magistrates offices Puttur and Coondapur -Head of account to which pay etc are debitable.

3(B) Ref:-G.O.No. 406 Rev. Sept. dated 19th Feb 1949.

In G.O. cited above the expenditure incurred for the employment masalchies in offices of S.S.M's Puttur and Coondapur is ordered to be debited to "25 General Administration" but the Major head of account for Criminal Courts is "27 Administration of Justice" I therefore request that the head of expenditure referred to in the G.O. may be changed into "27 Administration of Justice. Criminal Courts - S.S.Ms Bench of Magistrates and other establishments contingencies - office expenses and cleaning charges"

Yours faithfully

For District Magistrate

Pl. size

Memo. No. 60724 Ly/49-3 dated 25.11.49

Estt - Temporary - (South Kanara) - Employment of a separate masalachi in each of the S.S. Ms' Offices at Puttur and Coondapur - Expenditure - Debit head.

ICF -

From the Collr. South Kanara letter No. C 32284/49 Dated 10-10-49

A copy of the Bd's reference C.No. 11114-48-2 dated 3-2-49 together with a copy of the orders of Govt thereon and the further reference from the Collr. of South Kanara is forwarded to the Registrar, High Court of Madras. The Registrar is requested to state whether there is any objection to debit the expenditure on account of the masalachis employed in the S.S. Ms' Offices to the major head "27 Admn of Justice" instead of "25 Genl Admn."

V.F. 30.11.

Registrar, High Court Madras

a copy of pp Roc (B) dt 25-11-49 of pICF

25.11.49

From

Sri K.N.Ganpati,B.A.,Bar-at-law.,
Registrar, High Court, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Dated 2nd December 1949.

Sir,

Sub: Establishment - Temporary (South Kanara) Employment of a separate masalchi in each of the Stationary Sub Magistrate's Offices at Puttur and Coondapur - Expenditure - Debit head.

Ref: Revenue Department Memorandum No.60734 G/49-3 dated 25.11.1949.

There is no objection to debit the expenditure on account of the masalchis employed in each of the ~~in~~ Stationary Magistrate's Offices at Coondapur and Puttur. I am to state that in accordance with the instructions issued in G.O. No.424 Finance dated 29.4.1949 a part-time masalchi for each office would be sufficient.

REGISTRAR.

SV.

Pl. issue

Memo. No. 60724-G/49 — 5 dated 16.1.50

Estt. Temporary - (South Kanara) - Employment of separate masalachies in S.S.Rs offices at Puttur and Coondapur - Expenditure - debit head - Instructions issued

Ref From the Govt of South Kanara letter No. C. 3/2-284/49 dated 10.10.49.

1-2 Ref To the Registrar, High Court, Madras memo. No 60724-G/49-3 Rev'd 25.11

1-3 Ref From the Registrar, High Court letter No. R.O.C. 9163/49-D, dated 2.12.49

—

1-3 Ref (B) In modification of para 2 of G.O. Ms. No. 406 Rev. dt 19-2-49, the Govt direct that the expenditure on account of the employment of the masalchies sanctioned in para 1 of that order, should be debited to "27. Adm

of justice - h. criminal ~~Courts~~ courts - S.S.Ms, Bench Magistrates and other Ests - E. contingencies - office expenses and cleaning charges."

2. A copy of the letter from the Registrar, High Court, Madras is forwarded to the Collr of South Kanara. He is requested to examine the suggestion made therein that a part time masalchi for each of the S.S.M's offices at ~~Coondapur~~ Puttur and Coondapur would be sufficient and to ~~take such action as he deems necessary~~ consider ~~submit~~ offer his remarks thereon at an early date.

Pm
19.XII.49

23/12/49

To ~~Addl.~~ E
The Collr o
The ~~Dist~~ Kan
The ~~DD~~ o
The A.G
Fm -

With a copy of h3
The Registrar

h3 CF

CASE 10/50

C No. 8110 G/49

Rev. Dept.

Establishment - (South Kanara) - Appointment of separate Masalchis - Stationery Sub Magistrates' Offices, Puttur and Coondapur - Sanction of:

pp. 1 - 2 cf

From the Board of Rev Ref C No. 11114-48-2 dated 3-2-1949.

To HS.

Please see the Boards' Ref cc and enclosures in the cf.

ibid

2. The Collector of South Kanara, ~~has~~ prs, and the Board recommended that separate masalchis may be employed in the Sty. Sub ~~of~~ Magistrates' Offices in Puttur and Coondapur taluks of the South Kanara district. Hitherto the masalchis of Puttur and Coondapur Taluk Offices have been attending to the work in the Stationary Sub Magistrates' Offices also. The Collector of South Kanara

de para 1 of is letter on p 1 cf

states that the Taluk Offices and the Stationary Sub Magistrates' Offices are located in separate buildings far away from each other and that this arrangement of the masalchi attending to both Offices is reported to be unworkable and unsatisfactory. He has also added that the work of masalchis has increased considerably due to a rearrangement of pleas in the Pk Courts with the result that they are unable to attend to the work of the Sty. Sub Magistrates' Offices which are far away.

de Collector's letter on p 2 cf.

ibid

The distance between the Taluk Office and Sty. Sub Magistrates' Court, Coondapur is reported to be about half-a-mile and that between Taluk Office, Puttur and Sty. Sub Magistrates' Court, Puttur is reported to

about one furlong.

3. It is for orders whether the Collector's proposals for the employment of separate nasal chowkidar (which is recommended by the Board of Revenue) may be accepted in view of the fact that the Taluk Offices and the Sub Magistrates' Courts are located in separate buildings. If so, the draft (in view of the other reasons mentioned above) employment made for a period of one year in the first instance order submitted below is for approval. Finance may see for accepta

14/2/49

15.2.49

Fin (Estt)

Rev. Secy. 8110 G/49-1
15/2/49

In the special circumstances explained above, the draft order below may be accepted.

16.2.49 16.2.49

17/2

Rev REV

Rev. Dept.

To Dis. Pl. see the notes above.

The draft order submitted below may now issue. (Two more may please be signed by H.

18/2/49

18.2.49



Fin W.O. 10099 Estt/49-1



Memo no. 60724 G/49. dt.

Establishment – Temporary – (South Kanara) – Employment of separate masalachies in S.S.Ms. Offices at Puttur and Coondapur – Expenditure – Debit head – Instruction from the collr. S. Kanara, letter no. Cs. 22854/49 dt. 10.10.49.

In modification of para 2. of Govt. Ms no 406 Rev. dt. 19.2.49, the Govt. direct that the expenditure on account of the employment of the masalachies sanctioned in that order should be debited to "27 Admn. of Justice Criminal Courts – S.S.Ms, Bench Magistrates and other establishments – E. Contingencies – Office expenses and cleaning charges".

By order &c.

15/10/49

Note ... Please see the Collr.'s letter on p. 1 C.F. The expenditure on account of the masalachies employed in the S.S. M's offices should be appropriately debited to "27 Admn. of Justice" as pointed out by the Collr. The draft memo on prepage is for approval.

May be sent to Home and fin for acceptance. [After sanction the ppr. to will be stitched with (B)]

102333
F. 17/W/49

4.10.49 Revenue

15/10/49 Home Fin Rev. No 6072 A/49
15.10.49

Home Dept

Please see the draft memo. on p-1 and the Revenue dept. note above. With reference to their suggestion that the expenditure on account of the masalachies employed ('F' atrice) the S.S.M's Offices should appropriately be debited to 27 Admn. of Justice" it is subd. that the High Court will have to be

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
17 OCT 1949
HOME DEPARTMENT

157 ⟶ debit head

Consulted in regard to the proposed change in the debit head with these remarks.

If not correct, the file may be returned to Revenue (D.K. No 145)

18.10.49.

18.10.49

Rev Deptt.

To Asst. Secy

W.O. 102933-B/47

15 Lr 621364

Notes from page 2 onwd.

With reference to the notes of Home Deptt. above, a draft memo is submitted for approval. (Home may perhaps see only after the High Court's reply is recd)

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 7341 NOV 1949

Signed

Revised

Sir,

In my reply whether a reference to the High Court is necessary or whether orders regarding the debit may be issued on the lines suggested by the collector

Rev No. 607nG/49-2

31.10.49

Jud (B.S.) Dept.

Please see the letter from the D.N. in the C.F. & Note of Rev & Home Depts on pp 1-3 above. It does not seem necessary to consult the High Court on the question of the debit of the expenditure on naxalchies as proposed by the D.N. is the appropriate one. The draft memo on p 1 above may therefore be accepted and the case returned to Revenue through Home Dept.

4/11/49 — 4/11/49 — 5/11/49 — Home | Revenue

Home Deptt

This depts note on pp 2-3 ante and subsequent notes of Revenue and Finance on pp 3-4 above may be perused.

This dept suggested Consultation with the High Court with reference to Instruction 8 of the Instructions according to which proposals affecting the "Admn of Justice" and particularly — pp 8-44 ante

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNOR
7 NOV 1949
HOME DEPARTMENT

Fin. O 73416 B.6/a
5/11/49

proposals to be financed from the appropriations under "Admn. Of Justice" eg. Administrative establishments of the Magistrates etc. should be issued after Consultation with the High Court. Normally a proposal, the expenditure of which is debitable to "27. Admn. Of Justice", should have come through the High Court.

In this case the sanction for the masalchies was accorded without Consulting the High Court as the expenditure of was debited to "25. Genl. Administration". Now the proposal is to debit the expenditure to viz. "27. Admn. Of Justice" with reference to the suggestion of the D.H, South-Kanara.

Finance dept. Consider that a reference to High Court in this regard is not necessary as the

Bof

head of account proposed by the D.M. is the appropriate one.

Instruction 81 of the Secrt. Instructions refers to consultation with the High Court on when the expenditure is to be appropriately debited to "27. Admn. of Justice". It would perhaps be desirable to consult the High-Court before the change in the head of accou is made." It is not likely that the High Court will have any objection.



However if Finance dept's. view is to be accepted, Revenue dept. may be requested to communicate a copy of the memo on p-1 along with a copy of G.O. at (B) and the D.M's letter at p-1 cf to the High Court.

This may be passed on to Revenue

Fin Rev

Rev

Finance (BG) Dept

(Previous Finance note on p4 above)
Please see the note of Home on pp 4–6 above
As Home consider that it is desirable to consult
the High Court before issuing orders changing
the classification of the expenditure already ordered,
Finance need not object to the proposal of Home.
This may be returned to Revenue

15/11/49

Babani

No 75819 B.6/49 14/11/49

15-11-49

Rev. Dte



To W.S

Please see the Home dept note on pp 4 to 6
and also note of Finance above. A draft
memo is submitted for approval

25.11.49

25.11.49

Ev. Dept

By the Registrar, High Court, Madras ~~letter~~
~~No~~ memo. No: 60724 Cr/49-3 Rec'd 25.11.49
From the Registrar, High Court, Madras
Letter No. R.O.C 9163/49-D dated 2.12.49

To A.S

Please see the notes from pr 2 ante, Memo to the Registrar, High Court, Madras on pr 2 cf and the reply from ~~the~~ him on pr 3 cf. The Registrar has stated that there is no objection to debit the expenditure on account of the masalchis employed in the S.S.M's offices, Puttur and Coondapur to the major head "27 ~~Agricl Admn~~ Admn. of Justice" instead of "2.5 Genl. Admn." The ~~ad~~ hon'ble proposal

D

- 4079
13703/4

Our H/Ref may therefore be advised.

2. A copy of the letter from the Registrar, High Court, Madras dated 13.12.49 may forwarded to the Collr of South Kanara and he may be asked to examine the suggestion made therein that a part time masalchi for each of the S.S.M's offices at Cuttur and Coondapur would be sufficient and to ~~take steps to obtain~~ his remarks thereon at an early date.

3. A draft memo is submitted for approval Home and Fin may see for acceptance before issue.

Pm 19.12.49 — issued

E 23/12/49 Home Fin.

Home

Secy in No 607246/49A6 27.XII.49

Home Dept

[Previous notes of this dept. on pp 2-3 and 4-6 ante]

The notes of the Revenue dept. on pp 8-9 above and the draft memo below may be perused and the Case passed on to the Finance Dept.

31-12-49 — 31-12-49

Hal 31/12/50 Fin (M)

(Previous Fin note on p 7 above)

The further notes on pp 8-9 above and the draft memo below may be perused and the case returned to Home Dept.

Home new 13703A-D/49 2-1-50

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 28 DEC 1949 HOME DEPARTMENT

B 1811/50

AV 7-1-50 Home

Home Dept

CB's above. Revenue dept. w.o.

ADDITIONAL DEPUTY SECRETARY 278 8 JAN 1950 FINANCE DEPARTMENT

A 10-1-50

D.1.50 ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 24044 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Hal 10/1/50

Rev. Dept

To A.S

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 10 JAN 1950

Notes from pp 8-9 above may be perused. The draft

memo below may issue.

16/50